-	STATE OF FLORIDA		
2	DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS		
3			
4			
5	Petitioner,		
6	Case No:183844		
7	20160420-005		
8	SERVICES, DIVISION OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION,		
9	Respondent.		
10			
11			
12	DEPOSITION OF CATHERINE E. BRITT-TROTTER		
13	Taken on behalf of Respondent		
14	DATE TAKEN: Thursday, October 4 2018		
15	1, 2010		
16	20.00 d.m. co 11.19 a.m.		
17	PLACE: 335 Crossing Boulevard Orange Park, Florida 32073		
18			
19			
20			
21	Eveninetian C. ()		
22	Examination of the witness taken before: Margaret Chevalier,		
23	Certified Court Reporter PRECISION COURT REPORTING, LLC		
24	4600 Touchton Road East, Bldg. 100, Suite 150,		
25	Jacksonville, Florida 32246 (904) 629-5310		
- 1			

1 APPEARANCES 2 3 4 Ms. Tabitha G. Harnage, Esquire (via speakerphone.) 5 Department of Financial Services Office of the General Counsel 6 200 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399 7 Attorney for Respondent 8 9 Ms. Jessica Hinson, Esquire (via speakerphone.) 10 Rutledge Ecenia 119 South Monroe Street 11 Suite 202 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 12 Attorney for Lawnwood Regional Medical 13 Center & Parallon Business Group 14 Ralph P. Douglas, Esquire (via speakerphone.) 15 McConnaughhay, Coonrad, Pope, Weaver & Stern 1709 Hermitage Boulevard, Ste. 200 16 Tallahassee, Florida 32308 17 Attorney for Zenith Insurance Company 18 19 20 Also present: 21 Pamela Zahler, Esquire General Counsel 22 Parallon Business Performance Group Orange Park Shared Services 23 24 25

		3
1	INDEX	
2		
3	Deposition of CATHERINE E. BRITT-TROTTER	
4		Page
5	Direct Examination by Ms. Harnage Cross Examination by Mr. Douglas	5 22
6		
7		
8	EXHIBIT INDEX	
9	(No Exhibits were entered)	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

STIPULATIONS

It was stipulated and agreed by counsel for the respective party, and by the witness, that the reading and signing of the deposition not be waived.

CATHERINE E. BRITT-TROTTER,

a witness herein, having been duly sworn, testified upon her oath as follows:

THE WITNESS: I do.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. HARNAGE:

Q Good morning, Ms. Trotter. My name is Tabitha Harnage. I am an attorney with the Department of Financial Services. I will be taking your deposition this morning in the case of Zenith Insurance versus the Department of Financial Services. Thank you for being here today to testify.

Can you please state your name today, and spell it for the record?

A Catherine E. Britt-Trotter. Catherine is C-a-t-h-e-r-i-n-e, E., Britt is B-r-i-t-t, Trotter is T-r-o-t-t-e-r.

Q And Ms. Trotter, who are you employed by?

A I am employed by Parallon Business Group, Orange Park Shared Services.

	1 Q And how long have you been an employee with
	2 Parallon?
	A Fifteen years.
,	4 Q And
ļ	MS. HINSON: I am sorry to interrupt. Tabitha,
(this is Jennifer. Do you mind if I go ahead and
7	get on the record that Ms. Trotter is a fact
8	witness and not a designated corporate
9	representative?
10	MS. HARNAGE: Sure. I was going to ask
11	questions about that.
12	MS. HINSON: Okay. Well, you go ahead and
13	ask questions and then perhaps I can supplement
14	them if there is anything missing.
15	MS. HARNAGE: Sure.
16	MS. HINSON: Thank you.
17	BY MS. HARNAGE:
18	Q I am sorry, Ms. Trotter, but you were cut off.
19	What were you saying?
20	A Fifteen years.
21	Q Fifteen years, okay. And what is your job
22	with Parallon?
23	A I am the legal manager.
24	Q And Ms. Trotter, are you appearing today as
25	the designated corporate rep for Lawnwood?

1 Α No. 2 What about for Parallon? 0 3 Α No. 4 Are you appearing today as a fact witness for 0 5 this case? 6 Α Yes. 7 And Ms. Trotter, as the legal manager, can you tell me your duties with Parallon in that position? 8 We handle billing, collection, revenue cycle 9 10 for the HCA hospitals. 11 And that would include Lawnwood? 12 Α Yes. 13 Okay. And can you just tell me your day to day -- your duties in that position with like, the 14 billing and the collection revenue cycle? 15 16 So once a claim is billed to the insurance Α 17 company, my Department follows up with the Carrier. And since these are workers' comp claims, we follow up with 18 the carriers for the status of the bill, confirming that 19 20 they received the bill for processing. If there is additional documents needed, we will get those 21 additional documents to them, so that the claim can be 22 processed and paid. 23 24 And Ms. Turner, are you one of the individuals

that does that, or are you over the individuals that do

1 that? 2 I have personally done it, and I am also over 3 it. 4 Ms. Turner, have you been privy to the subpoena that was sent for the deposition today? 5 6 Α Yes. 7 What about the Exhibit A with the question? 8 Α Yes. 9 And you have read those documents? 0 10 Α Yes. 11 And are you familiar with the case such that you can speak to those questions and documents today? 12 13 Α Yes. 14 Great. Ms. Trotter, what documents did you 15 bring with you today? 16 I brought a timeline of the -- I brought the reconsideration, the AHCA petition, Zenith response, the 17 facility response to Zenith, the AHCA determination, 18 request for administrative hearing, and in addition the 19 20 EOB's and UB's. 21 Is it fair to say that you are familiar with the MSS Case number 20160420-005? 22 23 Α Yes. 24 Okay. And Ms. Trotter, how did you become 25 familiar with this matter?

It was my office that filed the request for 1 reconsideration, once we received the under payment from 2 Zenith, and we also filed the request for like, the ACHA 3 4 petition. Are you familiar with the injury in this 5 6 matter, with the injured worker? 7 Α No. Okay. Did you have an opportunity to review 8 9 any of the medical records? 10 Α No. So what, as far as your familiarity with this 11 case, other than the documents you just stated, what 12 have you been able to review? 13 Just the billing records, the billing 14 15 information. 16 Regarding -- Ms.Trotter, you mentioned the petition earlier, were you referring to the petition for 17 resolution of reimbursement dispute? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 And I am just going to ask some questions about that. And so generally, can you tell me the 21 process for your section and your duties as a legal 22 manager? Generally, how does the petition for 23 resolution of reimbursement process go? 24

25

Α

So once --

Q Go ahead, thank you. I'm sorry.

A Okay. Once the payment is received, and is posted to the account by our posting department, it registers to the account that is underpaid, additional payment is due, that is sent to my department through a daily work que, and from there, we will review to see the EOB, the explanation of benefits that was sent, to see why the claim did not pay per what the expected reimbursement was. After that review, if it's determined that we are to seek additional reimbursement, we will file the petition. If it was an adverse EOB, if we did not agree with the EOB, we would file the petition.

Q Okay. Can you tell me an example of a time, when -- and if you don't understand me, let me know and I will rephrase it, but an example of when Parallon would agree with the EOBR and not seek additional reimbursement, versus when they would?

A An example of a time is when the EOB is received in our system, it's the Florida work comp claim. The system is set up to know what the expected reimbursement is for Florida. So if we get that or there is a contract involved, we get that reimbursement according to what the system, our system is advising us. We do not file the petition.

Q Okay. Thank you for that explanation. So in this particular case, what was the process from your understanding of when, and if you could just go through the timeline with me, of when the charges were sent to Zenith, and then all the way through to the time when the petition for resolution of reimbursement dispute was filed?

A Yes. Just give me one second. So once the patient receives the services and the claim final bill, we sent our package to Zenith with the UB itemized bill and the medical records on February 29, of 2016. From there, we made several calls to Zenith for their review to get status, of what was going on with that claim.

On March 28, of 2016 we received a payment from Zenith in the amount of \$31,844.70. After that time, we reviewed the explanation of benefits, and determined that was an adverse EOB, additional reimbursement was due. We sent a request to Zenith, requesting that they review the claim again.

On April the 1st of 2016, then from there within the time frame of filing the request for petition, we filed the petition on April 18, of 2016.

Q And going back to whenever Parallon received the EOBR from Zenith, you mentioned that Parallon identified that EOBR as adverse. Can you just explain

that a little bit for me?

March 28 of 2016, with the payment for the \$31,844.70, we were expecting reimbursement from Zenith in the amount of \$111,314,16. So we did not get that payment amount. Therefore, we determined that was an adverse EOB, and we reviewed the explanation of benefit that came along with the payment, and we sent them the request for reconsideration and then within the guidelines we sent them the request to the State Board for the petition filling.

Q And do you have a copy of the EOBR in this matter?

A Yes.

Q Okay. If you can get that, I am just going to go through some of those codes. All right. Ms.

Trotter, this EOBR, whenever Parallon received it, and then in turn, determined it was adverse and you went through the process you stated, what are some things on the EOBR that would allow Parallon to determine that this was an adverse EOBR, if you will. What adverse --yes, an adverse EOBR?

A In reference to code 92 and 93, on the explanation of benefits, it said that the payment was made pursuant to Florida Workers' Comp reimbursement

manual for hospitals and its payment was made pursuant 1 to a written contract to agreement, see PPO above or 2 below, this payment was not made according to the B 3 schedule, nor was it made according to the written 4 contract agreement. 5 6 Okay. And we will come back to the contract. 0 What about the code 81? What is your understanding of 7 8 that code? 9 A 10 11 12 13 14 15 the original billing. 16 17 18 audit? 19 Α 20 submitted -- it was Zenith. 21 Who -- what? 22 23

24

25

Well, it's a payment adjusted billing, payment modified pursuant to a charge audit. They did not include a charge audit with the explanation of benefits. And then also -- I'm sorry, I missed the payment -- did not allow for the implant certification; not submitted for implants, and we did submit the certification with Going through the charge audit, and you mentioned no charge audit submitted. What is a charge What is your understanding of a charge audit? Well, this is -- I have no idea. It was The EOB states you know, payment made pursuant to a charge audit, they did not give me the charge audit at the time that I received the explanation of benefits. Okay. What -- what would that look like -- I Q

just want to know what that is for Parallon's position. 1 Like, what is a charge audit? 2 3 Α Well --4 0 If you were to have received one--MR. DOUGLAS: Objection. Asked and 5 6 answered. 7 BY MS. HARNAGE: You can answer, Ms. Trotter, if you understand 8 9 what I am trying to ask. 10 If I had received one, I would be looking for something that states, you know, something from bill 11 review that states, revenue code is denied because it's 12 missing a HCPC code, or revenue code is denied because 1.3 medical records didn't support the medical necessity of 14 15 the charge. 16 Okay. And Ms. Trotter, going back to your timeline, the EOBR was adverse, or when determined was 17 adverse, then you sent a request for reconsideration to 18 19 Zenith, is that correct? 20 Α Yes. 21 What response did Parallon receive in response 0 22 to that request for reconsideration? 23 We did not receive a response. Α 24 Okay. And then the next step was what? Q 25 To file the AHCA petition. Α

And that's the petition for resolution of 1 reimbursement dispute? 2 3 A Yes. 4 Okay. And what's your understanding -- are you the one that submitted the petition? 5 6 Α No. 7 0 But you reviewed it? 8 Α Yes. What is your understanding of what Lawnwood is 9 10 disputing in the petition? 11 We are disputing the payment amount received. Α And the -- the reason for the dispute is what? 12 0 13 The reimbursement according to our contract with Zenith, or I'm sorry, with First Health, is 68 14 15 percent of bill charges. 16 And Ms. Trotter, do you have a copy -- I believe it is the contract you were mentioning, at the 17 top, it says that amendment to a modelling facility 18 19 agreement; do you have a copy of that in front of you? 20 Hold on a moment. Yes. 21 Okay. Is that the contract that Parallon is alleging that should have been used by Zenith in 22 23 reimbursing? 24 Yes. It was our Exhibit F. Α Were you privy to the carrier response in this 25 Q

1 case? 2 Yes. 3 Okay. And have you reviewed that with all of 4 the exhibits? 5 Α Yes. 6 Okay. How did -- in response to that, how did Parallon react to the receipt of that? 7 MR. DOUGLAS: Objection. The witness is a fact 8 witness and not a corporate designated witness. 9 10 BY MS. HARNAGE: 11 You can answer, Ms. Trotter. Q 12 Repeat your question. 13 Sure. You mentioned that you were in receipt 14 of the carrier response? 15 Α Yes. 16 And you have reviewed that? 17 Α Yes. 18 How did Parallon react to receipt of that? 19 It was reviewed, and we sent in a response to Α 20 the carrier's response. 21 Can you tell me, like the process of reviewing that carrier's response, and just explain to me what was 22 the response to that, what Parallon sent in? 23 24 So once the carrier's response was received, it talked about the timeliness of filing the petition. 25

It talked about, and included a copy of the charge 1 audit, listing comparisons of reimbursement and therefore -- it also provided exhibits of different comparisons and what we did was -- what Parallon took the position to do was file a response stating that we did file our petition timely and that, you know, Zenith had a right to conduct a charge audit review, prior to them issuing the reimbursement for the \$31,000. They had a right to come on site and conduct a hospital charge audit review. And neither of these steps were taken.

So therefore we requested that the petition be reviewed and a determination issued.

- Okay. Do you recall from reading the carrier 0 response there being some dispute about a separate contract being issued, specifically from Zenith?
 - Α I do not recall.
- Okay. Once Parallon received that response, was there any communication between Parallon and Zenith, other than that response that was written?
- Α No. I'm sorry, yes. We sent in a corrected So we did send in a corrected UB to Zenith. UB.
- And what was the difference, what was the 0 modification of that one?
 - Hold on one second. I have to find it. Α

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

was a HCPC code removed from the original billing. 1 2 Okay. So prior to filing the petition for 3 resolution -- strike that. So is it fair to say that you are aware that 4 Lawnwood and Parallon wanted something of the bill paid 5 and that Zenith paid less than what Lawnwood wanted to 6 accept. Is that a fair summary? 7 8 Α Yes. How did -- I guess if there is any other thing 9 that we haven't talked about, how did Parallon attempt 10 to resolve this before going through the administrative 11 12 process? 13 There was a reconsideration letter sent prior ٠A 14 to the filing of the petition. 15 Q Okav. 16 And there was also calls placed to the carrier in regards to, you know, the reconsideration status. 17 And when the calls were placed, who were the 18 calls placed to, do you know? 19 20 To Zenith bill reviews. 21 As far as the charge master, was there any communication between Parallon and Zenith? 22 23 Α No. 24 With regards to a charge audit, if you know, was there any communication between the two? 25

1 Α No. And Ms. Trotter, as far as -- obviously you 2 are familiar with the dispute in this matter. Are you 3 aware that Zenith is disputing whether Lawnwood bill 4 should be paid at a per diem rate versus stop loss? 5 6 Α Yes. 7 What is Parallon's position in regards Okay. 8 to that particular dispute? MR. DOUGLAS: Well, if she can testify as a 9 fact witness or clarify somehow, what that is, as 10 11 to her only status here. MS. HINSON: Yes. And we are going to object 12 as well. That is something for a corporate 13 representative. That is not something for a fact 14 15 witness. 16 MS. HARNAGE: And that's fine. I am aware of Ms. Trotter's appearance today, in that limited 17 18 matter. 19 BY MS. HARNAGE: 2.0 So Ms. Trotter, do you understand my question? 21 Α Yes. Okay. What is your response? 22 My response is, according to the reimbursement 23 manual from Florida hospitals, the 2016 edition, if the 24 account is over the stop loss, you get a percent of 25

charge reimbursement. If the account is under the stop loss, you get a per diem reimbursement after implants are carved out. On this particular account, after the implants were carved out, the account was over the stop loss, and we were looking for a percentage of charges, the percent of charges.

Now with this particular one also, the contract has -- the contract has language in it that states lesser of, meaning whichever is lesser of, between the contract or the fee schedule, the lesser of language was the contract rate, and so the contract rate is 68 percent of bill charges.

Q So Ms. Trotter, so with the 68 percent of bill charges, you are looking at the charges and not the sub loss?

A Yes, ma'am. It's 68 percent of bill charges. We are looking at the total charges amount on the account. But before we got to the 68 percent of bill charges, we did consider the stop loss, because the contract states, whichever is the lesser of the two.

Q And Ms. Trotter, are you attending the hearing next week in this matter?

A No.

Q And why not?

MS. HINSON: I am going to object to form.

1 BY MS. HARNAGE: That's all right. Ms. Trotter, if you know, 2 3 you can answer. 4 I was not subpoenaed. 5 Okay. Are you -- where do you work, what 6 city? 7 Α Orange Park, Florida. 8 And you would be in agreement that is more than a hundred miles from Tallahassee? 9 10 Α Yes. 11 Okay. Thank you. If you can just give me one moment, please. Ms. Trotter, I don't have any further 12 questions. I really appreciate your time. Mr. Douglas 13 14 may have some questions for you. 15 CROSS EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. DOUGLAS: 17 Thank you. Ms. Trotter, my name is Ralph Douglas and I am the attorney here for Zenith and the 18 reimbursement dispute. Thank you for your time today. 19 20 You testified to what the contract says, and it looks like, and if you could confirm, in the provider 21 petition, they attached roughly six pages. Is that 22 23 correct? 24 Give me a second. Yes. 25 Is that essentially just an amendment showing

only what your particular percentage rate and discount 1 off of the bill charged, is that correct? 2 3 MS. HINSON: Object to form. MR. DOUGLAS: It's adverse and I'm on cross. 4 5 BY MR. DOUGLAS: 6 What else does the contract say? 7 It talks about future rate adjustments, it talks about remaining terms, certificate of originals. 8 It talks about the group health contract rate versus, for that particular account, workers' comp contract 10 rate, auto contract rate, and then it provides a list of 11 the signs, the date that it is signed, and then it 12 provides a list of facilities; because this was a 13 division contract so it provided a list of facilities 14 15 that represents that division. 16 So when you say contract, you are referring to the six pages that are attached to the provider 17 18 petition, is that correct? 19 MS. HINSON: Object to form. 20 BY MR. DOUGLAS: 21 Let me ask it this way. When you say 22 contract, what are you talking about? 23 The amendment to model facility agreement. Α Okay. Just the pages that are attached, is 24 the petition submitted by the provider? 25

1 Α Yes. 2 Okay. Are you aware that there are hundreds if not more than a thousand pages of contract documents 3 between the parties and with AHCA corporate amendments? 4 5 MS. HINSON: Object to form. BY MR. DOUGLAS: 6 7 0 You can answer. Are you aware of that? Yes, I am aware there are additional pages. 8 Do you know what they say, and have you ever 10 seen them? 11 I do not know what they say. 12 Have you ever seen any of them? 0 13 I have seen some of them. Α Where do they come from, when you see them? 14 15 I am not sure I understand. Α 16 Q How do you get these contract documents? 17 Α The company has a system that houses all of 18 our contract agreements. Then why did you only attach these six pages 19 20 if there are other applicable provisions? 21 Α This was --22 MS. HINSON: Object to form. 23 BY MR. DOUGLAS: 24 Let me just ask, why did you only attach the 0 six pages if there is a system that houses the other 25

1 pages? 2 This was the applicable relevant portion for 3 this particular case. 4 Okay. Okay. Are you aware that there is another part to the contract between Zenith and 5 6 Coventry? 7 A I am not. 8 Okay. Are you aware --9 I'm sorry, yes. There is an outpatient agreement. I'm sorry, yes. There is an outpatient 10 11 agreement. 12 Q Okay. 13 This part was only for the inpatient. Okay. When you say the outpatient agreement, 14 are you referring to the one that Zenith and Parallon 15 entered into several years ago on behalf of what HCA 16 17 entered into several years ago for radiology only? 18 Α Yes. 19 Okay. And are you also aware that AHCA voided that agreement in 2000 -- right about the time of this 20 21 admission? 22 Α Yes. 23 MS. HINSON: I object to form, and object on 24 the grounds of relevancy. 25 BY MR. DOUGLAS:

1 0 Are you aware of that? 2 Yes. 3 Okay. Moving back to this contract, is it fair to say, you don't know what all the pages of the 4 5 other parts of the contract say? 6 Α Yes. 7 Now it sounds like -- and I am going to back Your position is that the hospital can charge 8 whatever it wants, and under the contract, under your 9 part of the contract you attached, the hospital gets the 10 percent reimbursement, is that correct? 11 MS. HINSON: Object to form. It assumes facts 12 13 not in evidence. She did not testify to that and she is also a fact witness, so she is not in a 14 15 position to give us a statement regarding the 16 hospital or Parallon's position. 17 MR. DOUGLAS: Okay. So it is fair to say that 18 she is not going to answer that question as a fact 19 witness in this case? 20 MS. HINSON: She is not able to, as a fact 21 witness in the case. MR. DOUGLAS: That is all I needed to know. 22 23 BY MR. DOUGLAS: 2.4 Ms. Trotter, you said there is a system set up that tells you what the expected reimbursement is. 25

```
1
       I understand you correctly?
  2
                  Yes.
  3
            0
                  What is that system?
  4
                 It is our daily documentation system.
  5
                 And who sets up that system?
            0
                 It is set up by our contracts who review for
  6
            Α
       reimbursement, our modelling group.
  7
  8
            Q
                 What group?
  9
            Α
                 Modelling. M-o-d-e-l-l-i-n-q.
 10
                 Okay. Who is in charge of setting up that
            0
11
       system?
12
            Α
                 I don't know.
13
                 Did you ever talk to those people about why
14
      the system does what it does?
                 MS. HINSON: I am going to object on the
15
16
           grounds of relevancy.
17
                MR. DOUGLAS: She can answer.
18
      BY THE WITNESS:
                Yes. I have talked to modelling before.
19
           Α
20
                Is this basically a computer program that
21
      tells you what an expected reimbursement is?
22
           Α
                Yes.
23
                And are you personally involved with inputting
      anything to achieve the output?
24
25
           Α
                No.
```

1 Have you done any review of the hospital charges yourself, to determine if they were reasonable 2 under the law? 3 4 Α No. 5 Did you, or anyone at Parallon go and review any other portions of the contract agreement to 6 determine what charges are allowable under the contract? 7 8 MS. HINSON: Object to form. 9 BY THE WITNESS: 10 Α I don't know. BY MR. DOUGLAS: 11 12 Who would know that? 13 Α I don't know. 14 Have you done any comparison of these particular charges by Lawnwood through other facilities 15 for the same or similar services? 16 17 MS. HINSON: Object to form, object to 18 relevancy. BY MR. DOUGLAS: 19 20 0 You can answer. 21 Α No. 22 Are you aware of what type of surgery this 23 was? 24 I know it was a medical surgery. 25 Are you aware it was a finger surgery?

1 I am looking. At this moment, I do not know. Α 2 Okay. Going back to the system that tells you what an expected reimbursement is. If it said instead 3 --- said a hundred eleven dollars and change, but it 4 said a hundred eleven million dollars and change, would 5 you still file a provider petition for resolution of 6 reimbursement dispute based on what the computer printed 7 8 out? MS. HINSON: Object to form. 9 10 BY THE WITNESS: 11 No. 12 0 Why not? 13 MS. HINSON: Object to form. 14 BY MR. DOUGLAS: 15 Why would you not file a provider petition if Q the computer printed out a hundred and eleven million 16 dollars, as an expected reimbursement? 17 18 The total charges on this bill was \$163,697.30. So, if the computer told me a million 19 20 dollars was expected, it would be more than my total charges and I would look into that. 21 22 Okay. Well, what if the computer said the bill was one million six hundred thousand dollars and 23 the expected reimbursement, was one million dollars --24 25 MS. HINSON: Excuse me. Object to form.

BY MR. DOUGLAS:

Q Let me ask it this way. Just add a zero to the end of the charges by the hospital, and a zero to the end of the expected reimbursement. Would you look into that at some point, because the charges are more than a million and the reimbursement is a million?

MS. HINSON: Object to form.

BY MR. DOUGLAS:

Q You can answer.

A No. If my system is telling me that is what my suspected reimbursement is and I get my EOB, and something different is listed up there, I will look into the account to determine why I did not get paid for the estimation of benefit, and what my system was telling me was due.

Q Okay. So is there any upper limit? If it says a hundred million dollars for a finger surgery, would you still follow up, and file a petition if you didn't get the expected reimbursement?

A Yes.

MS. HINSON: Object to form.

BY MR. DOUGLAS:

Q So is it fair to say, that you are not looking at this to compare whether the charges are reasonable as compared with the service provided?

MS. HINSON: Object to form. 1 2 BY MR. DOUGLAS: 3 You can answer. 4 The charges, we are looking at respective reimbursement, and if we do not get our respective 5 reimbursement, we are filing request for reconsideration 6 and we are filing the petition. 7 8 Okay. So the answer is just no, you just do what the system or the computer tells you and you just 9 pursue the expected disbursement, is that correct? 10 11 Yes. 12 You mentioned a charge master; what is that? 13 MS. HINSON: I am going to object. I don't think she mentioned a charge master, did she? 14 15 MR. DOUGLAS: She mentioned the charge master 16 or coming to the hospital to do a review and 17 submitted documentation after the carrier response 18 to the petition, saying that the carrier should 19 have done one. 20 BY THE WITNESS: 21 From my understanding of the charge, they make an appointment to come into the facility, to sit down 22 and do a desk review of the medical bills, and review of 23 the charges for those medical services. 24

Okay. What would that accomplish in this

1 case? MS. HINSON: Object to form. 2 3 BY THE WITNESS: 4 Α I don't know. 5 BY MR. DOUGLAS: Why did you suggest that the carrier needed to 6 Q do that in this case? 7 In the carrier's response, they stated payment 8 was made pursuant to a charge audit. 9 10 Are you suggesting that the charges submitted with the bill, were not the ones that the hospital 11 intended to submit? 12 13 Α No. Okay. So are the charges that you passed on 14 with the Parallon bill the ones, the charges that the 15 hospital intended to have paid? 16 17 Α Yes. 18 Do you know how a charge master is set? 19 Α No. 20 Do you know how the hospital goes about putting in whatever is in his charge master? 21 22 Α No. 23 MS. HINSON: I am going to object on the 24 grounds of relevancy. 25 MR. DOUGLAS: Okay. Well, I am just

1 asking if she knows. 2 BY MR. DOUGLAS: 3 Do you know whether what's in the charge master has any correlation to what the hospital expects 4 to get paid by Blue Cross or Medicare? 5 ${\tt MS.}$ HINSON: Objection as to relevancy and I 6 7 am also going to object to the form. 8 BY MR. DOUGLAS: You can answer. 10 Δ No. 11 Did you look at the hospital, the documentation that Parallon submitted with its petition 12 13 for resolution? 14 Α Yes. 15 Do you see in there that also includes a hospital coding summary sheet also known as an abstract? 16 17 A UB04? Α No, that's the billing form, correct. The UB 18 19 is the billing form, correct? 20 Α Correct. 21 After that, the hospital submits its financial documentation, and in this particular case they 22 submitted what has been identified as a coding summary 23 24 sheet. Do you know what that is? 25 What page in the petition are you referencing? Α

	Q Let me ask you first. Do you know what a
į	coding summary sheet is?
	A I do not understand.
4	Q Do you know what a hospital payment abstract
5	is?
6	A I do not understand. I just want to make sure
7	that I understand your question.
8	Q Let me back up. Of all the documentation
9	submitted with the provider petition by Parallon, does
10	it come from the provider?
11	A Yes.
12	Q If it's submitted as an exhibit along with
13	medical records and so forth, it came from the provider?
14	A Yes.
15	MS. HINSON: Object to form.
16	BY MR. DOUGLAS:
17	Q Going back to the charge master. Do you know
18	if the charge master for these services that were
19	provided in January of 2016, can they still be
20	retrieved?
21	A I believe so.
22	Q Have you ever been involved in any disputes
23	and heard the hospital say, we don't archive it. We
24	it has changed and it only exists electronically?
25	A No.

1 MS. HINSON: Object to form. Object on 2 relevancy. 3 BY MR. DOUGLAS: Have you ever heard that position taken by any Δ 5 of your member hospitals? 6 Α No. 7 If you wanted to go back personally, and get a copy of the January, 2016 service date from the charge 8 master of a particular line item, could you do that? 10 I do not have access. So you have to then pass that back to the 11 hospital to indicate whether they do or do not have that 12 particular line item in the charge master? 13 MS. HINSON: Objection, relevancy. 14 15 BY THE WITNESS: 16 Α I'm not sure. 17 What is the relationship between Parallon and AHCA, let me back up. Which Parallon entity are you the 18 official legal entity of; are you here testifying on 19 20 behalf of? 21 MS. ZAHLER: This is Pamela Zahler. We established at the beginning that she is a fact 22 witness, that she is not a corporate designee. She 23 is employed by HSS Systems, commonly known in the 24 Parallon Business Performance Group, Orange Park 25

Shared Services.

BY MR. DOUGLAS:

Q What's the difference between Parallon Business Performance Group and Parallon Business Solutions?

MS. HINSON: I am going to object. She is not a corporate representative. She can answer based on, you know, the facts that are known personally to her but she is not speaking for the company when she answers these questions.

BY MR. DOUGLAS:

Q Well, Ms. Zahler just testified, and I am just going to ask one clarifying question, because the names appear almost interchangeably.

MS. ZAHLER: Well, Parallon Business Solutions is the large arm of Parallon, which is a wholly owned subsidiary of AHCA and I am not testifying as a corporate witness here. Parallon Business Solutions has different entities, the business performance group handles revenue cycle, the HIM functions and some other functions. There is another arm that deals with staffing and H.R. and supply chain.

And there is another arm, which I am not even sure what it does.

1 MR. DOUGLAS: Okay. Thank you. MS. ZAHLER: But Parallon Performance Group 2 is an entity under Parallon Business Solutions. 3 MR. DOUGLAS: Thank you, Ms. Zahler. We 4 5 appreciate that. BY MR. DOUGLAS: 6 7 Ms. Trotter, you testified, if I am not mistaken, and correct me if I am wrong, that the 8 contract states in the six pages that you attached, state that the percentage rate is the lessor of the 10 contract, or the fee schedule. Is that correct? 11 12 Α Yes. 13 What is the fee schedule you are referring to? The Florida Reimbursement Manual that was in 14 Α effect for this particular account. 15 16 Q Okay. So based on your review of the manual, you are interpreting this, is that correct? 17 18 Α Yes. 19 MS. HINSON: Object to form. 20 BY MR. DOUGLAS: 21 And you mentioned the stop loss, is that 22 correct? 23 Α Yes. 24 And what is that, in your understanding? Q 25 According to the manual, there is a stop loss Α

that is to be applied once implants are carved out, for 1 reimbursement purposes. 2 3 Okay. Is that basically that they charge more than X dollars, they don't get reimbursed, based on the 4 maximum reimbursement allowance, per diem basis? 5 6 MS. HINSON: Object to form. 7 BY MR. DOUGLAS: Let me go ahead and ask. What is the stop 8 loss. What does that mean, what are you saying? 10 Α When --11 MS. HINSON: I am going to object. 12 has answered this. She answered it for Ms. Harnage and she's answered it for you already. Ms. 13 Trotter, if you have anything else to offer, you 14 15 should now. 16 BY THE WITNESS: 17 Α I do not. 18 BY MR. DOUGLAS: So your expectation is that, based on what the 19 computer system says, you've got a percentage of total 2.0 billed charges without any further analysis? 21 22 Α I do not understand. 23 Well, let me ask it this way. The computer 24 said you expect \$111,000, right? 25 MS. HINSON: Object to form.

1 BY MR. DOUGLAS: 2 If the computer told you that the expected reimbursement is \$111,000 and some change, is that 3 4 correct? 5 Α Yes. Is it your understanding that's based on the 6 7 percentage of charges submitted by the hospital, is that 8 correct? 9 Α Yes. 10 What other review or analysis do you feel applies in your role besides looking at the computer and 11 12 the percentage of charges? MS. HINSON: I am going to object to form, 13 14 and again, she is only a fact witness and she can only testify to her facts and personal 15 knowledge, not her feelings or thoughts or her 16 17 opinions. 18 BY MR. DOUGLAS: Well, what's the policy? What do you guys do 19 20 besides look at the expected reimbursement from the computer print out and compare it to the percent of 21 charges; anything else? 22 23 We do review the manual, the reimbursement 24 manual.

You mean, the stop loss and percent, is that

what you're saying?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Right. We look at the reimbursement manual that provides the stop loss for charges and it also provides a per diem. It also provides the billing information, and it's just the hospital reimbursement manual.

0 Okay. Did you look at any definitions of maximum reimbursement allowance, for example?

I believe so, yes. That is in the reimbursement manual.

Okay. It is specifically defined? It says a specific maximum dollar amount?

It says, the stop loss, after the implants are Α carved out, if that amount is over the stop loss, you get a percentage of, and I believe this one particular states 75 percent of billed charges, and if after the implants are carved out, if it's under the stop loss, you get a per diem rate.

Okay. And it's fair to say you didn't go back and review the other pages of the contract and no one else at Parallon reviewed any other pages of the contract besides the six or so pages that were attached to the provider of the petition, to determine what or how they might impact this, is that fair?

MS. HINSON: Object to form. She can't

24

1 testify as to what other folks at Parallon did. 2 BY MR. DOUGLAS: 3 Okay. Well, do you know if anybody went and pulled other pages of the contract? 4 5 A I do not know. 6 Okay. If other pages exist within the computer system, do you know why they were not attached? 7 8 We attached the relevant portion of the contract, for this particular case. 9 10 Are you saying that none of those are relevant 11 from your perspective? 12 Α We attached --13 MS. HINSON: Object to form. It doesn't matter 14 what her perspective is. She's a fact witness to 15 talk about what happened. 16 BY MR. DOUGLAS: 17 Okay. Somebody said, these are the only 18 relevant pages, is that accurate? 19 MS. HINSON: Object to form. 20 BY MR. DOUGLAS: Or did somebody say, are you aware of anybody 21 talking about other pages, Ms. Trotter? 22 23 Α No. 24 Okay. Are you aware of any other high level discussions or other discussions, inside or outside the 25

context of this case between Zenith and other AHCA 1 2 corporate representatives in 2016? 3 MS. HINSON: Object to form, object on 4 relevancy. 5 BY MR. DOUGLAS: 6 I am just asking if you are aware of any other 7 discussions? 8 Α Yes. Are you aware of any emails coming from any of the Parallon Shared Services Groups saying they were 10 going to shred emails from Zenith? 11 MS. HINSON: Object to form. Object on 12 13 relevancy. Come on, Ralph. 14 BY MR. DOUGLAS: Are you aware, that's the only pending 15 16 question. 17 Α No. 18 I don't have anything else. MS. HARNAGE: Thank you. Madam Court 19 Reporter, we would like to order an expedited 20 21 transcript. We can talk about the time, off the record. Ms. Hinson, will you read or 22 23 waive with your client? 24 MS. HINSON: We will read. Thank you. 25 (Deposition concluded at 11:19 a.m.)

CERTIFICATE OF OATH STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF DUVAL I, the undersigned authority, certify that the witness, CATHERINE E. BRITT-TROTTER personally appeared before me and was duly sworn. WITNESS my hand and official seal this 7th day of October 2018. Margaret Chevalier Notary Public-State of Florida

CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF DUVAL I, Margaret Chevalier, Certified Court Reporter, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing deposition and that the transcript is a true record. I further certify that I am neither a relative nor counsel to any of the parties nor a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel connected herewith; nor financially interested in the event of such cause. Dated this 7th of October, 2018. Margaret Chevalier, CCR

	13
1	
2	WITNESS' SIGNATURE
3	Please be advised I have read the foregoing
4	deposition pagesthrough,
5	inclusive. I hereby state there are:
6	
7	(check one)
8	no corrections
9	corrections per attached
10	
11	
12	
13	Catherine E. Britt-Trotter
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
L	

WITNESS' CHANGES OR CORRECTIONS If you are adding to your testimony, print the exact words you want to add. If you are deleting from your testimony, print the exact words you want to delete. Specify with "Add" or "Delete" and sign this form. Deposition of: Catherine E. Britt-Trotter Date of Deposition: October 4, 2018 I, Catherine E. Britt-Trotter , have the following corrections to make to my deposition: Page Line Change/Add/Delete